NUMBER THEORY COURSE

LESSON: PRIMITIVE ROOTS-I

1. Introduction

The additive group of the ring (\mathbb{Z}_n , +,·) of integers modulo n is known to be cyclic but the multiplicative group of units in \mathbb{Z}_n namely U(n) may or may not be cyclic. The Lesson on primitive roots is aimed to determine the values of n for which U(n) is cyclic. Moreover, for a given n > 1, the problem of finding generators of U(n), whenever it is cyclic will also be settled.

We start with a simple result before giving the first definition.

Proposition 1.1. Let $a, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, n > 1. Then $a^k \equiv 1 \mod n$ for some k > 0 iff $\gcd(a, n) = 1$.

Proof. If gcd (a, n) = 1 then by Euler's theorem, $a^{\varphi n} \equiv 1 \mod n$. Conversely, if there is some k > 0 such that $a^k \equiv 1 \mod n$, we claim that $ax \equiv 1 \mod n$ has a solution. If k = 1, x = 1 is a solution. If k > 1, $x = a^{k-1}$ is a solution. But we know that solvability of $ax \equiv 1 \mod n$ is equivalent to gcd (a, n) = 1.

Definitions 1.1. Let n > 1 and a be an integer such that gcd (a, n) = 1. k is called the order of a modulo n if k is the least postitve integer such that

$$a^k \equiv 1 \mod n$$
.

We write $Ord_n a = k$.

In view of the above proposition, the assumption gcd(a, n) = 1 is necessary as well as sufficient for the definition of order of a modulo n make sense.

By reducing down a modulo n, one may obtain an element of the group U(n). Definition 1.1 simply defines order of this element in the group U(n).

Definitions 1.2 (Primitive root). An integer a, if exists, such that $Ord_n a = \phi(n)$ is called a primitive root of n.

2. Primitive roots for primes

In most of the probes related to modular arithmatic, starting with primes happens to be a nice idea. In this section we try to find whether there exists a primitive root for a prime or not, and if it does, what are the possible integers that may be primitive roots for the prime.

The first tool we need is a result due to Lagrange. The fundamental theorem of algebra tells that any polynomial with complex coefficients uses to have as many zeros as its degree. If a polynomial of degree n with rational coefficients is viewed as a polynomial with complex coefficients, one knows that it has n many (not necessarily distinct) complex zeross. Out of those complex zeross, some may be rational numbers and therefore the maximum number of rational zeros is n. A similar result can be stated for polynomials with coefficients from the field \mathbb{Z}_p .

1

However, over an arbitrary ring, there are no bounds for number of zeros of a polynomial.

Example 2.1. Consider the ring \mathbb{Z}_8 . The elements 1, 3, 5 and 7 are all zeros of $x^2 + 7$.

We now prove the result for the fields \mathbb{Z}_p .

Theorem 2.2. Let p be a prime and $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \ldots + a_0$ be a polynomial with integral coefficients of degree $n \ge 1$ and $a_n \ne 0 \mod p$. Then the congruence

$$f(x) \equiv 0 \mod p$$

has at most n incongruent solutions modulo p.

Proof. The proof is by induction on degree of f. If degree f is 1, then $f(x) = a_1x + a_0$. Let x_0 be the solution of $a_x \equiv 1 \mod p$ then $y = -x_0a_0$ is the unique solution to $f(x) \equiv 0 \mod p$. Now assume that the result is true for all polynomials with degree less than or equal to n and let deg f = n + 1. If $f(x) \equiv 0 \mod p$ does not have a solution then we are done. If it has a solution α then by division algorithm we may write

$$f(x) = (x - \alpha)g(x) + r(x)$$

with deg r < 1 (Since deg $(x - \alpha) = 1$) *i.e.* r is an integer. Putting $x = \alpha$, we get $r \equiv 0$ mod p and $f(x) \equiv (x - \alpha)g(x) \mod p$. Now suppose β be a solution of $f(x) \equiv 0$ mod p that is incongruent to α modulo p. Then

$$0 \equiv f(\beta) \equiv (\beta - \alpha)g(\beta) \mod p$$

Since $\beta - \alpha \not\equiv 0 \mod p$, we have $g(\beta) \equiv 0 \mod p$. Since deg g=n, by our induction hypothesis, there are atmost n such β that are incongruent modulo p. Hence $f(x) \equiv 0 \mod p$ has at most n + 1 many solutions incongruent modulo p.

Remark 2.1. Above theorem can be restated as "A polynomial of degree n in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ can have at most n zeros in the base field \mathbb{Z}_p ." A close look at the proof indicates that the key part is availability of the division algorithm. Using the long division algorithm, one may conclude that division algorithm holds in $\mathbb{F}[x]$ for any field \mathbb{F} . Therefore it may be concluded that any polynomial of degree n in $\mathbb{F}[x]$ can have at most n zeros.

Proposition 2.3. *If* p *is a prime then the equation* $x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \mod p$ *has precisely* p-1 *roots that are incongruent modulo* p.

Proof. Let $a \in \{1, 2, ..., p-1\}$ then by Fermat's theorem, $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \mod p$.e So thte equation $x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \mod p$ has at least p-1 incongruent solutions. The result now follows by Lagrange's theorem.

Proposition 2.4. *If* p *is a prime and* $d \mid p-1$ *then* $x^d-1 \equiv 0 \mod p$ *has precisely d roots incongrunet modulo* p.

Proof. When $d \mid p-1$, $(x^d-1) \mid (x^{p-1}-1)$ so that we may write

$$x^{p-1} = \left(x^d - 1\right)g(x)$$

for some polynomial g(x) of degree p-1-d. By Lagrange's theorem, g can have at most p-1-d incongruent zeros. Any zero of x^{p-1} is a zero of x^d-1 or of g(x). $x^{p-1}-1$ has p-1 incongruent zeros which is the maximum possible number of zeros. Therefore both the polynomials x^d-1 and g(x) must have resp. d and p-1-d incongruent zeros.

Remark 2.2 (A new proof of Wilson's theorem). Define the polynomial

$$f(x) = (x-1)(x-2)\dots(x-p+1) - (x^{p-1}-1).$$

This polynomial is of degree less than p-1 as x^{p-1} term gets cancelled out. We write

$$f(x) = a_{p-2}x^{p-2} + \ldots + a_1x + a_0.$$

Let $a \in \{1, 2, ..., p-1\}$. Then (a-1)(a-2)...(a-p+1)=0 and by Fermat's theorem, $x^{p-1}-1\equiv 0 \mod p$. Therefore the equation $f(x)\equiv 0 \mod p$ has p-1 incongrunt solutions. This is possible only when f is the zero polynomial i.e. $a_{p-2}=a_{p-3}=...=a_0\equiv 0 \mod p$. Therefore, for any integer a,

$$(a-1)(a-2)\dots(a-p+1) - (a^{p-1}-1) \equiv 0 \mod p$$

i.e. $(a-1)(a-2)\dots(a-p+1) \equiv (a^{p-1}-1) \mod p$

Putting a = p - 1 above, we get

$$(p-1)! \equiv -1 \mod p$$

The next objective is to prove the existence of primitive roots for prime numbers. If that is assumed, for a prime p, the group U(p) would become cyclic of order p-1. In that case, our knowledge of group theory tells that for every divisor d of p-1, there is exactly one subgroup of U(p) or order d which would contain $\varphi(d)$ many elements of order d. The following theorem establishes all these facts about U(p) in one go.

Theorem 2.5. Let p be a prime and d (p-1) then there are exactly $\varphi(d)$ many incongruent integers having order d modulo p.

Proof. The proof relies on the Lagrange's theorem and the identity

$$p - 1 = \sum_{d|(p-1)} \varphi(d). \tag{2.1}$$

Since every element of the set $A = \{1, 2, ..., p-1\}$ is coprime to p, it has a finite order that divides $\varphi(p) = p-1$. Therefore, if $\psi(d)$ denotes the number of elements of A having order d then we have

$$p - 1 = \sum_{d|(p-1)} \psi(d). \tag{2.2}$$

Comparing equations (2.1) and (2.2), we get

$$\sum_{d|(p-1)} \varphi(d) = \sum_{d|(p-1)} \psi(d). \tag{2.3}$$

We first prove that if for some d, $\psi(d)$ is non-zero then $\psi(d)$ must be equal to $\varphi(d)$. Let a be of order d in A. Then, for any $1 \le k \le p-1$, $Ord_pa^k = \frac{d}{\gcd(d,k)}$ so that $Ord_pa^k = d$ iff $\gcd(d,k) = 1$. So there are exactly $\varphi(d)$ many integers in A whose order is d. Finally, all the elements of A satisfy $x^d - 1 \equiv 0 \mod p$ so by Lagrange's theorem, any integer whose order is d must be congruent to some integer in d. Thus the total number of incongruent integers having order d modulo d must be d0. Finally, it is easy to see that d0 for any divisor d0 of d0. For if d0 is d0 of d0. For if d0 of d1. For if d0 of d2 of d3 of d4 of d5 of d4 of d6 of d6 of d6 of d7. For if d6 of d8 of d9 of or any divisor d9 of d9. For if d9 of d9 of

for some divisor d_0 of p-1 then the equation (2.3) can not hold, as $vp(d_0) > 0$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 2.6. *If* p *is a prime, then there are exactly* $\varphi(p-1)$ *incongruent primitive roots of* p.

Proof. Take d = p - 1 in the above theorem.

Example 2.1. If *p* is a prime of type 4k + 1 then the congruence $x^2 \equiv -1 \mod p$ admits a solution.

Since p = 4k + 1, 4 is a divisor of p - 1. Thus there exists an integer of order 4 modulo p. Let a be one such integer. Then

$$a^{4} \equiv 1 \mod p$$

$$\implies a^{4} - 1 \equiv 0 \mod p$$

$$\implies (a^{2} - 1)(a^{2} + 1) \equiv 0 \mod p.$$

Therefore, $a^2 - 1 \equiv 0 \mod p$ or $a^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \mod p$. But $a^2 - 1 \equiv 0 \mod p$ contradicts to the assumption that order of a modulo p was 4. Hence $a^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \mod p$ holds whereby, a becomes a solution to the congruence $x^2 \equiv -1 \mod p$.

3. Exercises

Exercise 1. If *p* is an odd prime, prove that

- (a) the only incongruent solutions of $x^2 \equiv 1 \mod p$ are 1 and p 1.
- (b) The congruence $x^{p-2} + ... + x^2 + x + 1 \equiv 0 \mod p$ has exactly p-2 incongruent solutions and they are 2, 3, ..., p-1.

Hint: (a) $x^2 \equiv 1 \mod p \implies (x-1)(x+1) \equiv 0 \mod p$ whereby, $x \equiv \pm 1 \mod p$. (b) Clearly, 1 does not sarisfy the congruence. If $a \not\equiv 1 \mod p$ satisfies the congruence $a^{p-2} + \ldots + a^2 + a + 1 \equiv 0 \mod p$ if and only if

$$(a-1)(a^{p-2} + \dots + a^2 + a + 1) \equiv 0 \mod p$$

$$\iff a^{p-1} - 1 \equiv 0 \mod p.$$

Exercise 2. Determine all the primitive roots of 17.

Hint: By hit and trial, it turns out that 2 is not a primitive root of 17 but 3 is. Now, $\varphi(17) = 16$. There must be $\varphi(16) = 8$ primitive roots of 7. These primitive roots are of type 3^k where gcd (k, 16) = 1.

Exercise 3. Given that 3 is a primitive root of 43, find all positive integers less than 43 whose order is 6 modulo 43.

Hint: Order of an element of type 3^k is $\frac{42}{\gcd(k, 42)}$. Possible values of k are 7, 35 (there can be 2 elements only of order 6 as $\varphi(6) = 2$).

Exercise 4. Assume that r and r' are primitive roots of an odd prime p. Show that rr' can not be a primitive root of p.

Hint: First, observe that any primitve s of p satisfies $s^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \mod p$. For $s^{(p-1)/2}$ is a root of $x^2 \equiv 1 \mod p$ and $s^{(p-1)/2} \not\equiv 1 \mod p$. Now, $(rr')^{(p-1)/2} \equiv r^{(p-1)/2}r'^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 \mod p$ and thus rr' can not be a primitive root of p.